

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,957	TSENG, ANTHONY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas J. Theisen	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Douglas J. Theisen.

(2) Maria Erlinda C. Sarno.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Douglas J. Theisen

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The text added to paragraphs 36 and 45 of the specification in the preliminary amendment dated 10 September 2003 is considered to be new matter and needs to be deleted in order to allow the claims in the application. A new abstract is needed, because the abstract in the amendment dated 16 April 2005 is too long. The attorney will provide a FAX of the discussed changes, which will be included in an Examiner's amendment.